Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

JJGJR.: 07-06

Paper No:__

FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK NY 10112

COPY MAILED

JUL 1 7 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

DECISION

In re Application of

Fujii, et al.

Application No. 09/972,996

Filing Date: 10 October, 2001

Attorney Docket No.: 862.C2404

This is a decision on the petition filed on 15 May, 2006, requesting withdrawal of the holding of abandonment under 37 C.F.R. §1.181.

NOTE:

Monitoring of the status of applications on Private PAIR can inform one's management of application responses and provide an indication when mailings of Office actions should be expected.

As discussed herein, Petitioner avers non-receipt of an Office action, however, Petitioner's office customer number allows online access to the application. Petitioner could have known of the mailing of the Notice of Allowance/Allowability and Fees Due, and, thus, abandonment in question. Regulatory requirements mandate the filing of a petition seeking relief under 37 C.F.R. §1.181 within two (2) months of the act complained of, to wit: the abandonment which took place by operation of law after midnight 16 February, 2006.

The petition as considered under 37 C.F.R. §1.181 is **GRANTED**.

BACKGROUND

The record reflects that:

- Petitioner failed to reply timely and properly to the Notice of Allowance/Allowability and Fees Due mailed on 16 November, 2005, with reply due under a non-extendable deadline on or before 16 February, 2006;
- the application went abandoned after midnight 16 February, 2006;
- the Office mailed the Notice of Abandonment on 14 April, 2006;
- on 15 May, 2006, Petitioner filed the instant petition and averred, *inter alia*, that Petitioner's office did not receive the Notice (a copy is enclosed), and provided documentary support consistent with the guidance set forth in the Commentary at MPEP §711.03(c).

Out of an abundance of caution, Petitioners always are reminded that:

- the filing of a petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.181 does not toll any periods that may be running any action by the Office and a petition seeking relief under the regulation must be filed within two (2) months of the act complained of (see: 37 C.F.R. §1.181(f)); and
- those registered to practice and all others who make representations before the Office are reminded to inquire into the underlying facts of representations made to the Office and support averments with the appropriate documentation—since all owe to the Office the continuing duty to disclose.¹

(a) For all documents filed in the Office in patent, trademark, and other non-patent matters, except for correspondence that is required to be signed by the applicant or party, each piece of correspondence filed by a practitioner in the Patent and Trademark Office must bear a signature by such practitioner complying with the provisions of §1.4(d), §1.4(e), or § 2.193(c)(1) of this chapter.

(b) By presenting to the Office (whether by signing, filing, submitting, or later advocating) any paper, the party presenting such paper, whether a practitioner or non-practitioner, is certifying that—

See supplement of 17 June, 1999. The Patent and Trademark Office is relying on petitioner's duty of candor and good faith and accepting a statement made by Petitioner. See Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure, 62 Fed. Reg. at 53160 and 53178, 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office at 88 and 103 (responses to comments 64 and 109)(applicant obligated under 37 C.F.R. §10.18 to inquire into the underlying facts and circumstances when providing statements to the Patent and Trademark Office).

Specifically, the regulations at 37 C.F.R. §10.18 provide:

^{§ 10.18} Signature and certificate for correspondence filed in the Patent and Trademark Office.

⁽¹⁾ All statements made therein of the party's own knowledge are true, all statements made therein on information and belief are believed to be true, and all statements made therein are made with the knowledge that whoever, in any matter within the jurisdiction of the Patent and Trademark Office, knowingly and willfully falsifies, conceals, or covers up by any trick, scheme, or device a material fact, or makes any false, fictitious or fraudulent statements or representations, or makes or uses any false writing or document knowing the same to contain any false, fictitious or fraudulent statement or entry, shall be subject to the penalties set forth under 18 U.S.C. 1001, and that violations of this paragraph may jeopardize the validity of the application or document, or the validity or enforceability of any patent, trademark registration, or certificate resulting therefrom; and

STATUTES, REGULATIONS AND ANALYSIS

Congress has authorized the Commissioner to "revive an application if the delay is shown to the satisfaction of the Commissioner to have been "unavoidable." 35 U.S.C. §133 (1994).²

The regulations at 37 C.F.R. §1.137(a) and (b) set forth the requirements for a petitioner to revive a previously unavoidably or unintentionally, respectively, abandoned application under this congressional grant of authority. The language of 35 U.S.C. §133 and 37 C.F.R. §1.137(a) is clear, unambiguous, and without qualification: the delay in tendering the reply to the outstanding Office action, as well as filing the first petition seeking revival, must have been unavoidable for the reply now to be accepted on petition.³

Delays in responding properly raise the question whether delays are unavoidable.⁴ Where there is a question whether the delay was unavoidable, Petitioners must meet the burden of establishing that the delay was unavoidable within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §133 and 37 C.F.R. §1.137(a).⁵

Upon failure of the applicant to prosecute the application within six months after any action therein, of which notice has been given or mailed to the applicant, or within such shorter time, not less than thirty days, as fixed by the Commissioner in such action, the application shall be regarded as abandoned by the parties thereto, unless it be shown to the satisfaction of the Commissioner that such delay was unavoidable.

⁽²⁾ To the best of the party's knowledge, information and belief, formed after an inquiry reasonable under the circumstances, that —

⁽i) The paper is not being presented for any improper purpose, such as to harass someone or to cause unnecessary delay or needless increase in the cost of prosecution before the Office;

⁽ii) The claims and other legal contentions therein are warranted by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument for the extension, modification, or reversal of existing law or the establishment of new law;

⁽iii) The allegations and other factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, are likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and

⁽iv) The denials of factual contentions are warranted on the evidence, or if specifically so identified, are reasonably based on a lack of information or belief.

⁽c) Violations of paragraph (b)(1) of this section by a practitioner or non-practitioner may jeopardize the validity of the application or document, or the validity or enforceability of any patent, trademark registration, or certificate resulting therefrom. Violations of any of paragraphs (b)(2)(i) through (iv) of this section are, after notice and reasonable opportunity to respond, subject to such sanctions as deemed appropriate by the Commissioner, or the Commissioner's designee, which may include, but are not limited to, any combination of —

⁽¹⁾ Holding certain facts to have been established;

⁽²⁾ Returning papers;

⁽³⁾ Precluding a party from filing a paper, or presenting or contesting an issue;

⁽⁴⁾ Imposing a monetary sanction;

⁽⁵⁾ Requiring a terminal disclaimer for the period of the delay; or

⁽⁶⁾ Terminating the proceedings in the Patent and Trademark Office.

⁽d) Any practitioner violating the provisions of this section may also be subject to disciplinary action. See § 10.23(c)(15). [Added 50 FR 5175, Feb. 6, 1985, effective Mar. 8, 1985; para. (a) revised, 58 FR 54494, Oct. 22, 1993, effective Nov. 22, 1993; paras. (a) & (b) revised, paras. (c) & (d) added, 62 FR 53131, Oct. 10, 1997, effective Dec. 1, 1997; para. (a) revised, 69 FR 56481, Sept. 21, 2004, effective Oct. 21, 2004]

² 35 U.S.C. §133 provides:

³⁵ U.S.C. §133 Time for prosecuting application.

Therefore, by example, an <u>unavoidable</u> delay in the payment of the Filing Fee might occur if a reply is shipped by the US Postal Service, but due to catastrophic accident, the delivery is not made.

⁴ See: Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. at 53158-59 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office at 86-87 (October 21, 1997).

⁵ See: In re Application of G, 11 USPQ2d 1378, 1380 (Comm'r Pats. 1989).

And the Petitioner must be diligent in attending to the matter.⁶ Failure to do so does not constitute the care required under Pratt, and so cannot satisfy the test for diligence and due care.

(By contrast, <u>unintentional</u> delays are those that do not satisfy the very strict statutory and regulatory requirements of unavoidable delay, <u>and</u> also, by definition, are not intentional.⁷))

Allegations as to the Request to Withdraw the Holding of Abandonment

The courts have determined the construct for properly supporting a petition seeking withdrawal of a holding of abandonment.⁸

Further, the commentary at MPEP §711.03(c) provides:

A. Petition To Withdraw Holding of Abandonment Based on Failure To Receive Office Action

In Delgar v. Schulyer, 172.USPQ 513 (D.D.C. 1971), the court decided that the Office should mail a new Notice of Allowance in view of the evidence presented in support of the contention that the applicant's representative did not receive the original Notice of Allowance. Under the reasoning of Delgar, an allegation that an Office action was never received may be considered in a petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment. If adequately supported, the Office may grant the petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment and remail the Office action. That is, the reasoning of *Delgar* is applicable regardless of whether an application is held abandoned for failure to timely pay the issue fee (35 U.S.C. 151) or for failure to prosecute (35 U.S.C. 133). To minimize costs and burdens to practitioners and the Office, the Office has modified the showing required to establish nonreceipt of an Office action. The showing required to establish nonreceipt of an Office communication must include a statement from the practitioner stating that the Office communication was not received by the practitioner and attesting to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the Office communication was not received. A copy of the

⁶ See: Diligence in Filing Petitions to Revive and Petitions to Withdraw the Holding of Abandonment, 1124 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 33 (March 19, 1991). It was and is Petitioner's burden to exercise diligence in seeking either to have the holding of abandonment withdrawn or the application revived. See 1124 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office supra.

Therefore, by example, an <u>unintentional</u> delay in the reply might occur if the reply and transmittal form are <u>to be</u> prepared for shipment by the US Postal Service, but other pressing matters distract one's attention and the mail is not timely deposited for shipment.

⁸ See: Delgar v. Schulyer, 172 USPQ 513 (D.D.C. 1971).

docket record where the nonreceived Office communication would have been entered had it been received and docketed must be attached to and referenced in practitioner's statement. For example, if a three month period for reply was set in the nonreceived Office action, a copy of the docket report showing all replies docketed for a date three months from the mail date of the nonreceived Office action must be submitted as documentary proof of nonreceipt of the Office action.

The showing outlined above may not be sufficient if there are circumstances that point to a conclusion that the Office action may have been lost after receipt rather than a conclusion that the Office action was lost in the mail (e.g., if the practitioner has a history of not receiving Office actions).

Evidence of nonreceipt of an Office communication or action (e.g., Notice of Abandonment or an advisory action) other than that action to which reply was required to avoid abandonment would not warrant withdrawal of the holding of abandonment. Abandonment takes place by operation of law for failure to reply to an Office action or timely pay the issue fee, not by operation of the mailing of a Notice of Abandonment. See *Lorenz v. Finkl*, 333 F.2d 885, 889-90, 142 USPQ 26, 29-30 (CCPA 1964); *Krahn v. Commissioner*, 15 USPQ2d 1823, 1824 (E.D. Va 1990); *In re Application of Fischer*, 6 USPQ2d 1573, 1574 (Comm'r Pat. 1988). (Emphasis supplied.)

And the regulation (37 C.F.R. §1.1819) requires that relief be sought within two (2) months of the

The regulations at 37 C.F.R. §1.181 provide:

^{§ 1.181} Petition to the Director.

<>

⁽a) Petition may be taken to the Director:

⁽¹⁾ From any action or requirement of any examiner in the ex parte prosecution of an application, or in ex parte or inter partes prosecution of a reexamination proceeding which is not subject to appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences or to the court;

⁽²⁾ In cases in which a statute or the rules specify that the matter is to be determined directly by or reviewed by the Director; and

⁽³⁾ To invoke the supervisory authority of the Director in appropriate circumstances. For petitions involving action of the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, see § 41.3 of this title.

⁽b) Any such petition must contain a statement of the facts involved and the point or points to be reviewed and the action requested. Briefs or memoranda, if any, in support thereof should accompany or be embodied in the petition; and where facts are to be proven, the proof in the form of affidavits or declarations (and exhibits, if any) must accompany the petition.

⁽c) When a petition is taken from an action or requirement of an examiner in the *ex parte* prosecution of an application, or in the *ex parte* or *inter partes* prosecution of a reexamination proceeding, it may be required that there have been a proper request for reconsideration (§ 1.111) and a repeated action by the examiner. The examiner may be directed by the Director to furnish a written statement, within a specified time, setting forth the reasons for his or her decision upon the matters averred in the petition, supplying a copy to the petitioner.

⁽d) Where a fee is required for a petition to the Director the appropriate section of this part will so indicate. If any required fee does not accompany the petition, the petition will be dismissed.

⁽e) Oral hearing will not be granted except when considered necessary by the Director.

⁽f) The mere filing of a petition will not stay any period for reply that may be running against the application, nor act as a stay of other proceedings. Any petition under this part not filed within two months of the mailing date of the action or notice from which relief is requested may be dismissed as untimely, except as otherwise provided. This two-month period is not extendable.

act complained of.

Petitioner appears to have satisfied the requirements described above.

CONCLUSION

The petition as considered under 37 C.F.R. §1.181 hereby is granted.

The instant application is released to Technology Center 2600 for further processing, to wit: the re-mailing of the Notice of Allowance/Allowability and Fees Due, in due course.

Telephone inquiries concerning <u>this decision</u> may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3214.

John Gillon, Jr. Senior Attorney Office of Petitions

⁽g) The Director may delegate to appropriate Patent and Trademark Office officials the determination of petitions. [24 FR 10332, Dec. 22, 1959; 34 FR 18857, Nov. 26, 1969; paras. (d) and (g), 47 FR 41278, Sept. 17, 1982, effective Oct. 1, 1982; para. (a), 49 FR 48416, Dec. 12, 1984, effective Feb. 11, 1985; para. (f) revised, 65 FR 54604, Sept. 8, 2000, effective Nov. 7, 2000; paras. (a) and (c) revised, 65 FR 76756, Dec. 7, 2000, effective Feb. 5, 2001; paras. (a), (a)(2)-(3), (c)-(e) & (g) revised, 68 FR 14332, Mar. 25, 2003, effective May 1, 2003; para. (a)(3) revised, 69 FR 49959, Aug. 12, 2004, effective Sept. 13, 2004]

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Akaandria, Virginia 22313-1450

NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND FEE(S) DUE

05514

7590

11/16/2005

FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112 EXAMINER

ABEBE, DANIEL DEMELASH

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2655

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/972,996	10/10/2001	Kenichi Fujii	862.C2404	4792

TITLE OF INVENTION: SPEECH RECOGNITION SYSTEM, SPEECH RECOGNITION APPARATUS, AND SPEECH RECOGNITION METHOD

APPLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	ISSUE FEE	PUBLICATION FEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE	DATE DUE
nonprovisional	NO	\$1400	\$300	\$1700	02/16/2006

THE APPLICATION IDENTIFIED ABOVE HAS BEEN EXAMINED AND IS ALLOWED FOR ISSUANCE AS A PATENT. PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS CLOSED. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. THIS APPLICATION IS SUBJECT TO WITHDRAWAL FROM ISSUE AT THE INITIATIVE OF THE OFFICE OR UPON PETITION BY THE APPLICANT. SEE 37 CFR 1.313 AND MPEP 1308.

THE ISSUE FEE AND PUBLICATION FEE (IF REQUIRED) MUST BE PAID WITHIN THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS NOTICE OR THIS APPLICATION SHALL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED. THIS STATUTORY PERIOD CANNOT BE EXTENDED. SEE 35 U.S.C. 151. THE ISSUE FEE DUE INDICATED ABOVE REFLECTS A CREDIT FOR ANY PREVIOUSLY PAID ISSUE FEE APPLIED IN THIS APPLICATION. THE PTOL-85B (OR AN EQUIVALENT) MUST BE RETURNED WITHIN THIS PERIOD EVEN IF NO FEE IS DUE OR THE APPLICATION WILL BE REGARDED AS ABANDONED.

HOW TO REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:

I. Review the SMALL ENTITY status shown above.

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as YES, verify your current SMALL ENTITY status:

- A. If the status is the same, pay the TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above.
- B. If the status above is to be removed, check box 5b on Part B Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and twice the amount of the ISSUE FEE shown above, or

If the SMALL ENTITY is shown as NO:

- A. Pay TOTAL FEE(S) DUE shown above, or
- B. If applicant claimed SMALL ENTITY status before, or is now claiming SMALL ENTITY status, check box 5a on Part B Fee(s) Transmittal and pay the PUBLICATION FEE (if required) and 1/2 the ISSUE FEE shown above.
- II. PART B FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL should be completed and returned to the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) with your ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). Even if the fee(s) have already been paid, Part B Fee(s) Transmittal should be completed and returned. If you are charging the fee(s) to your deposit account, section "4b" of Part B Fee(s) Transmittal should be completed and an extra copy of the form should be submitted.
- III. All communications regarding this application must give the application number. Please direct all communications prior to issuance to Mail Stop ISSUE FEE unless advised to the contrary.

IMPORTANT REMINDER: Utility patents issuing on applications filed on or after Dec. 12, 1980 may require payment of maintenance fees. It is patentee's responsibility to ensure timely payment of maintenance fees when due.

PART B - FEE(S) TRANSMITTAL

Complete and send this form, together with applicable fee(s), to: Mail

Mail Stop ISSUE FEE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 (571) 273-2885

or Fax

INSTRUCTIONS: This form should be used for transmitting the ISSUE FEE and PUBLICATION FEE (if required). Blacks 1 through 5 should be completed where 11.11

maintenance fee notifications. CURRENT CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS (Note: Use Block 1 for any change of address) 05514 7590 11/16/2005			Note: A certificate of mailing can only be used for domestic mailings of the Fee(s) Transmittal. This certificate cannot be used for any other accompanying				
				papers. Each additional paper, such as an assignment or formal drawing, thave its own certificate of mailing or transmission.			
FITZPATRICK (CELLA HARPER &	SCINTO		C	ertificate of Malling or Trans	mission	
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112				I hereby certify that I States Postal Service addressed to the Ma	by certify that this Fee(s) Transmittal is being deposited with the United Postal Service with sufficient postage for first class mail in an envelope sed to the Mail Stop ISSUE FEE address above, or being facsimile unted to the USPTO (571) 273-2885, on the date indicated below.		
				Tansimuca to the OS	710 (371) 273-2863, On the C	(Depositor's name)	
						(Signature)	
						(Date)	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVEN	TOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/972,996	10/10/2001		Kenichi Fujii		862.C2404	4792	
APPLN. TYPE	SMALL ENTITY	ISSUE FI	EE D	JBLICATION FEE	TOTAL FEE(S) DUE	DATE DUE	
nonprovisional	NO	\$1400		\$300	\$1700	02/16/2006	
	·				31/00	02102000	
EXAM		ARTUN	п с	ASS-SUBCLASS	J		
	EL DEMELASH	2655		704-275000	<u></u>	·	
CFR 1.363).	e address or indication of "Fe lence address (or Change of 0 22) attached.	`	(1) the names of or agents OR, alte	•••	ent attorneys 1		
"Fee Address" indication (or "Fee Address" Indication form PTO/SB/47; Rev 03-02 or more recent) attached. Use of a Customer Number is required.			registered attorney or agent) and the names of up to				
	RESIDENCE DATA TO B		•	** *			
PLEASE NOTE: Unless recordation as set forth in	an assignee is identified be a 37 CFR 3.11. Completion of	low, no assignee of this form is NOT	data will appear on t I a substitute for filin	he patent. If an assig g an assignment.	gnee is identified below, the d	ocument has been filed for	
(A) NAME OF ASSIGN	EE	(B) RESIDENCE: (CIT	Y and STATE OR CO	OUNTRY)		
Please check the appropriate	: assignee category or category	ries (will not be pri	inted on the patent) :	☐ Individual ☐ (Corporation or other private gr	oup entity Government	
4a. The following fee(s) are	enclosed:	46	. Payment of Fee(s):			- 12 1	
Ulssue Fee		_	A check in the amount of the fee(s) is enclosed.				
Advance Order - # of	mall entity discount permitte	a)	Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached. The Director is hereby authorized by charge the required fee(s), or credit any overpayment, to				
			Deposit Account Number (enclose an extra copy of this form).				
	(from status indicated above MALL ENTITY status. See 3	₹	☐ b. Applicant is n	o longer claiming SM	ALL ENTITY status. See 37 C	FR 1.27(g)(2).	
The Director of the USPTO NOTE: The Issue Fee and Pointerest as shown by the reco	is requested to apply the Issu ublication Fee (if required) words of the United States Pate	e Fee and Publicat rill not be accepted at and Trademark	tion Fee (if any) or to I from anyone other t Office.	re-apply any previous han the applicant; a re	sly paid issue fee to the applications gistered attorney or agent; or the state of	ation identified above. he assignee or other party in	
Authorized Signature			Date				
Typed or printed name _				Registratio	m No		
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-	1430.				the public which is to file (and minutes to complete, including comments on the amount of the different of t		



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/972,996	10/10/2001	Kenichi Fujii	862.C2404	4792
05514	7590 11/16/2005		EXAM	INER
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112		ABEBE, DANIEL DEMELASH		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2655	

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154 (b)

(application filed on or after May 29, 2000)

The Patent Term Adjustment to date is 691 day(s). If the issue fee is paid on the date that is three months after the mailing date of this notice and the patent issues on the Tuesday before the date that is 28 weeks (six and a half months) after the mailing date of this notice, the Patent Term Adjustment will be 691 day(s).

If a Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) was filed in the above-identified application, the filing date that determines Patent Term Adjustment is the filing date of the most recent CPA.

Applicant will be able to obtain more detailed information by accessing the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) WEB site (http://pair.uspto.gov).

Any questions regarding the Patent Term Extension or Adjustment determination should be directed to the Office of Patent Legal Administration at (571) 272-7702. Questions relating to issue and publication fee payments should be directed to the Customer Service Center of the Office of Patent Publication at (703) 305-8283.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s) FUJII ET AL.				
	09/972,996					
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Daniel D. Abebe	2655				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIFORM OF THE OFFICE OF UPON PETENT RIFORM OF THE OFFICE OF UPON PATENT RIFORM OFFICE OFFIC	ars on the cover sheet with the co (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to and MPEP 1308. der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)	prrespondence address plication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS withdrawal from issue at the initiative				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority doc	numents have been received in this	national stage application from the				
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* Certified copies not received:						
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.						
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.						
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must	t be submitted.					
(a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperso	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948) attached .				
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date						
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	Amendment / Comment or in the O	ffice action of				
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.						
		.				
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5 D Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. Interview Summary	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No./Mail Date 8). 7. Examiner's Amendo					
Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	. —	nt of Reasons for Allowance				
of Biological Material	9. ☐ Other .					
		:				
		i				
	•					

Application/Control Number: 09/972,996

Art Unit: 2655

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 33-36 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The daims are allowed as a result of the amendment in the claims, more particularly, where the claims now include the step of sending the speech information except for the keyword portion to an external speech recognition as recited in the claims.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel D. Abebe whose telephone number is 571-272-7615. The examiner can normally be reached on monday-friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne Young can be reached on 571-272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/972,996 Page 3

Art Unit: 2655

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel Abebe Primary Examiner A.U. 2655

November 8, 2005